

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application Transmittal

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:

Inventor: Adrian William Spender

For: ENABLING MULTIPLE CLIENT ACCESS TO A PROCESS-BASED SYSTEM OR PROGRAM FROM A SINGLE
JAVA VIRTUAL MACHINE

Enclosed are:

☒ 4 Sheets of Formal Drawings☐ An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines, Armonk, NY 10504 (including recordation cover sheet)☒ Declaration and Power of Attorney, not executed☒ Memo re Missing Parts

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

Other Than Small Entity

For:	No. Filed	No. Extra	Rate	Fee
Basic Fee				\$ 760.00
Total Claims	5-20 =	0	0x \$ 18.00=	\$.00
Indep. Claims	3 - 3 =	0	0 x \$ 78.00=	\$.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Dependent Claim Presented			\$260.00	\$.00
			TOTAL	\$ 760.00


Deposit Account Authorization:

☒ Please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0461 in the amount of \$760.00. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0461. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16.
☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17.

Date: September 29, 2000

Respectfully submitted,

From: Edward H. Duffield
IBM Corporation
IPLaw, Dept. T81/Bldg. 503
P.O. Box 12195
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

By: 
Edward H. Duffield
Attorney of Record
Reg. No. 25,970

Telephone: 919-254-1301; Fax: 919-254-4330

09/29/00
JC825 U.S. PTO
09/29/00

JC825 U.S. PTO
09/29/00
09/29/00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: A. W. Spender

Serial No.:

Filed: Herewith

For: Enabling Multiple Client Access to a Proces-Based System or Program from a Single
Java Virtual Machine

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" label number: EK873466532US

Date of Deposit: September 29, 2000

WE REQUEST THE DATE OF DEPOSIT AS THE DATE FILED.

I hereby certify that the following **attached** correspondence comprising:

1. Patent Application Transmittal, in duplicate
2. Patent Application, 17 pages
3. Formal Drawings, 4 sheets
4. Declaration and Power of Attorney, not executed
5. Memo re Missing Parts
6. Business Reply Postcard

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail Post Office to Addressee service under CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to:

Box Patent Application
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dianne Lane
(Name of person mailing paper or fee)

Dianne Lane

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EK873466532US DATE OF DEPOSIT: September 29, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper and fee are being deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail Post Office to Addressee service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Dianne Lane

NAME OF PERSON MAILING PAPER AND FEE

SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAILING PAPER AND FEE

INVENTORS: Adrian William Spender

ENABLING MULTIPLE CLIENT ACCESS TO A PROCESS-BASED SYSTEM OR PROGRAM FROM A SINGLE JAVA VIRTUAL MACHINE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to enabling multiple client requesters running within the scope of a single process (such as a single Java Virtual Machine) to be distinguished from each other when accessing a system or computer program which relies on process identifiers. The invention is particularly applicable when providing Java client support for a process-based legacy system or program.

BACKGROUND

In certain distributed client/server data processing environments, many client processes such as application programs can request services provided by one or more servers (e.g. a transactional resource manager program, or any other process having a server/client relationship to the requester processes) and the source of the request is determined at the server

by two pieces of information: the system identifier of the machine the client process is running on and a process identifier of the client process. This method of recognising requests has proven effective in the past, because each individual application program and each executable piece of code running on a data processing system is allocated a system-unique process identifier which can be sent to the server.

It is known in the art to provide system architectures and communication protocols which always maintain a direct connection between a client requester process and a server process, and for server processes to identify the individual requester by means of this connection (such as if both the client requester process and the server process support TCP/IP socket connections and the server process can identify individual client requesters using the TCP/IP socket connection). However, as noted above, some server processes (including some legacy programs architected before TPC/IP communication was so widely used) use a process identifier to identify the origin of a request. This latter method of recognising requests is suitable for traditional client applications which are compiled into an executable form and each run in their own process.

However, applications written in the Java programming language may be running in, or may communicate with a Servlet running in, a Java Virtual Machine under the same process identifier. Therefore, it is possible for requests from two or more clients which are sent via the same Java Virtual Machine to have the same system identifier and process identifier such that a server which receives the requests is unable to distinguish them as originating from different client applications. This leads to problems routing replies and potential resource access conflicts. For instance, two clients running within a single process (Java Virtual Machine) may make requests to obtain rows from a shared data source. If the data source provider uses a combination of system identifier and process identifier (or uses only a network-unique process identifier) to allocate a shared lock on the data source, then the two clients will be indistinguishable to the data source, and requests from both will be treated as requests originating from a single client. If client A begins to iterate through the rows of the data source then it will retrieve each row in

turn, and in order starting from the first row. If client B, running within the same process then starts to iterate through the data source, the data source will recognise an iteration request from the same, indistinguishable, client and provide the next row which should have been provided to client A. (Java is a trademark of Sun Microsystems Inc.)

5 This problem could arise for any server process, program or system which fulfils a server role within a data processing network and which differentiates between client processes by means of a process identifier, if it is possible for multiple client requesters to appear to have the same process identifier.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10 In a first aspect, the present invention provides a method for managing communications between requester processes and server processes in a data processing network, including: creating a set of dispatcher processes, each having a unique process identifier; associating each of a set of requester processes, which communicate with a server process via a common interpreter process, with a different dispatcher process of said set of dispatcher processes; for requests sent from any of said set of requester processes via said common interpreter process to a server process which identifies requester processes using a process identifier, routing said requests via the associated dispatcher process; at the respective dispatcher process, attaching the unique identifier of the dispatcher process to the request and then forwarding the request to the server process; and responsive to receipt by the dispatcher process of a reply to said request, forwarding the reply to the associated requester process.

15

20

 The invention addresses the problem of differentiating between processes which appear to a server process to have the same process ID associated with them, for example

processes which run within a common process such as a single Java Virtual Machine (JVM),
such that a server process which relies on the process ID to differentiate between sender client
processes cannot determine the source of a request. This problem can lead to routing problems
and conflicts between requests which are sent by different client processes running under the
5 same process ID, particularly if the client processes are running concurrently.

The invention is particularly advantageous for providing Java client support for a
legacy computer program, where multiple concurrent client access is desired. This is of particular
benefit when the Java support is required to enable the legacy program to interoperate with a
Web application server which has multiple Servlet threads running within its JVM to service
multiple concurrent clients (Web Browsers). The present invention can then be used to enable
10 Web-based access to the legacy program from client systems and devices which do not have the
legacy program installed.

A further advantage of the invention is that it is implementable without completely
rewriting an existing legacy architecture.

According to a second aspect, the invention provides a computer program, preferably
implemented as a program product comprising program code recorded on a machine readable
recording medium, the program code including instructions for controlling the operation of an
apparatus to implement a method as described above.
15

According to a third aspect, the invention provides a data processing apparatus
20 comprising means for implementing a method as described above, as set out in the
accompanying claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

A preferred embodiment of the invention will now be described in more detail, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of two applications running in separate JVMs
5 accessing a server process;

Figure 2 is a schematic representation of two applications running in a single JVM
and accessing a server process;

Figure 3 shows two Web Browsers communicating with a server process via a Servlet
running within a Web application server's JVM;

Figure 4 is a schematic representation of components of the invention according to a
10 preferred embodiment; and

Figure 5 shows steps of a method according to an embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention is implementable in many different data processing systems and
15 computer programs in which communicating processes are identified using a process identifier.
The problem which is addressed by the invention potentially arises wherever Java applications
send requests to a system that identifies requests by a process ID, and also in other environments

if multiple client processes run within a single process having a process ID such that the single process ID is associated with requests from the multiple clients.

For example, the invention is implementable in IBM Corporation's LANDP retail banking middleware solution, which allows client applications to access a range of LANDP services in a client-server model. LANDP client applications written typically in C or COBOL make requests to LANDP servers which may be local, or remote on another machine in the LAN. Each workstation in the LAN runs a LANDP supervisor process which acts as a co-ordinator and router of requests, determining whether a request is for local or remote services and, if remote, passing the request to a LAN server component which maps workstation IDs to network addresses. LANDP servers receive requests from LANDP clients. The source of the request is determined by the workstation ID of the machine the client is running on (the workstation ID is a two character ID assigned when a LANDP workgroup is set up) and the Process ID of the client application which is unique on the respective client machine. It is the job of the LAN component to route a reply to the supervisor on the machine identified by the system identifier, then the supervisor routes it to the correct process identified by its process ID. Thus the LAN component acts as a router between machines on a LANDP workgroup, and the supervisor acts as a router which can put replies back to the correct process on a particular machine. This method of recognising requests using a process ID is suitable for traditional client applications which are compiled into an executable and run in their own process, but requires further adaptation in order to provide satisfactory support for writing LANDP clients in Java. (LANDP and IBM are trademarks of International Business Machines Corporation.)

As Java applications, applets or servlets run within a Java Virtual Machine, under a single Process ID. Since requests from two or more Java applications would typically have the same workstation ID and process ID associated with them, a problem arises that LANDP servers

would not be able to distinguish those requests as originating from separate client applications. From the perspective of the server process, the JVM is the source of all the requests.

A solution according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention allows any number of separate LANDP client applications, written in Java and running within the same Java Virtual Machine, to be recognised as individual client applications. This is achieved by firstly allocating to each client a dispatcher process having a unique Process ID (as described below) and secondly routing all requests to LANDP servers through that uniquely identified dispatcher process. By allowing multiple LANDP clients from within the same JVM to be differentiated, it is possible to utilise the capabilities of Java to allow Web-based access to LANDP services from workstations that do not need to have LANDP code installed (the LANDP supervisor and LAN server code). These may be thin-client type devices which have not previously been supported by LANDP.

A manager application runs on the machine on which the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) containing the multiple LANDP client applications is running. The manager object on instantiation creates a number of dispatcher processes, each with its own unique Process ID. Each Java client within the JVM makes a call to the manager application requesting to register itself with one of the dispatcher processes. A Java client which has successfully registered with the manager will then have access to a dispatcher process that it can route its requests through. Requests from the Java client are made by calling a send() method. This method is preferably implemented in native code and routes the request not to the LANDP supervisor but to the dispatcher process. The dispatcher process then sends the request on behalf of the Java client to the LANDP supervisor. Thus, the request is seen to come from the Process ID of the dispatcher and not the Process ID of the Java Virtual Machine. On completion of the request, the LANDP

supervisor returns the results to the dispatcher process which in turn sends them back to the respective Java client.

An example solution to ensure that LANDP requests from Java applications (applets and servlets) running in the same Java Virtual Machine under the same process ID can be processed independently will now be described in more detail.

In one implementation, the manager and dispatcher are implemented in the C programming language on a platform such as Microsoft's Windows NT or IBM's OS/2 operating system. A C DLL on both platforms provides Java Native Interface implementations of the register() send() and unregister() methods of the Java class representing a LANDP request. The DLL communicates with the manager and dispatcher using standard operating system functions such as named pipes and shared memory. (OS/2 is a trademark of International Business Machines Corporation. Windows is a trademark of Microsoft Corporation).

As is well known in the art, Java applications (applets and servlets) all run in a Java Virtual Machine. LANDP applications written in Java interface with a LANDP server via a DLL that is used to create and send a request (an RMTREQ) to a LANDP server. When the LANDP Java application calls this DLL it is loaded under the process ID of the JVM that the application is running in. RMTREQ requests are individually identified to LANDP by a combination of Process ID and Workstation ID.

Figure 1 shows two LANDP applications 10, 20 running in separate JVMs 30, 40 accessing a LANDP supervisor 50. Since the applications 10 and 20 are running in separate JVMs, when the applications send a request (an RMTREQ), the DLL 60 is loaded by the process

ID of the JVM. Hence the requests from the applications arrive at the LANDP supervisor 50 with different Process IDs (PIDs).

The problem occurs when two applications run in the same JVM, as shown in figure 2. Here, since both applications 80,90 are running within the same JVM 100, when the DLL 110 is loaded by Application 80 making an RMTREQ, it is attached to the PID of the JVM 100. When Application 90 makes a request it uses the already loaded DLL 110. Therefore RMTREQs from both applications arrive at the LANDP supervisor 120 with the same PID and cannot be recognised as coming from separate applications.

In recent years, server-centric programming models in which a central server or servers create requests to back end systems on behalf of clients have become increasingly prevalent. Typically, the central server applications are written in Java, using Java technologies such as Servlets and JavaBeans. A Servlet is a piece of server-side Java code that runs within a Web server or Web application server. Running more than one application within a JVM is now a common occurrence and this is especially so with Servlets. The Servlet processes requests from Web browsers, and runs within the JVM of the Web application server. The Servlet processes each request it receives in a thread. Thus, each client sends requests to the Servlet running on a central server and these requests result in requests being made on behalf of the clients by the Java Servlet to request services from back-end systems such as IBM's LANDP. The JVM runs as a process having a single process ID. Therefore a LANDP supervisor receiving requests via the Servlet would not be able to distinguish which requests came from which thread in the Servlet as they all arrive with the same Process ID. Figure 3 shows this situation.

As shown in Figure 3, two Web browsers 130, 140 are using the same Servlet 150. The Servlet may be a business application, for instance a home banking application. The users

request information from the application via their browser, for instance requesting their latest account balance. The Servlet is running within the web application server's JVM 160 (for instance IBM's WebSphere application server). Every time the Servlet 150 receives a new request it handles the request in a thread. Thus the Servlet can serve multiple concurrent clients.

5 The Servlet creates requests to a LANDP server necessary to perform the operation and then sends them via an RMTREQ to the local LANDP supervisor 170. If requests come from both browsers A and B (130,140) it is therefore possible for these requests to generate RMTREQs to the LANDP server that would interfere with one another, as they would appear to come from the same PID. (WebSphere is a trademark of International Business Machines Corporation).

10 According to this implementation of the invention, a new interface is provided between the LANDP Java class and the LANDP supervisor which can recognise where RMTREQs have come from (i.e. which piece of Java code running in a JVM) and then send them on to the supervisor ensuring that the results are sent back to the correct place.

15 One possible solution to the above problem would be to ensure that all Java applications that make requests to a LANDP server are run in separate JVMs. This would ensure that they each have separate PIDs. This is not a satisfactory solution since it imposes unacceptable restrictions on application developers, and in the case of Servlets it is contrary to the defined architecture. Secondly, the support for TCP/IP which is implemented within a LANDP server is not made visible to LANDP applications, and so conventional use of TCP/IP
20 sockets is not applicable. Instead, a LANDP client only has to know the name of a server to communicate with it, since routing is handled by the supervisor and LAN server components of a LANDP network.

Therefore a solution is required which is implementable within a computer program such as the LANDP client/server transaction processing program, or within an interoperating computer program.

Java support within a LANDP server is implementable as follows. Firstly, Java classes are provided for an application developer to use when developing a LANDP application in Java. The first class, Cprb.class contains variables for all of the Common Programming Request Block (CPRB) fields, as well as a method to send that CPRB to the supervisor. The CPRB is the data structure which is sent across the network to send a request, and it includes the workstation ID and process ID. The second class, RmtReq.class provides a send() method to actually send the CPRB to LANDP. The send() method of RmtReq.class is implemented within a DLL which implements a native method implementation of send() that creates a CPRB structure in memory and then sends it to the LANDP server, converting the resultant CPRB back into a Java object. This is in the form of a DLL written in C.

Solutions within the scope of the present invention solution include adaptations to the architecture of LANDP, or to the RmtReq.class Java class and C interface DLL. The preferred embodiment for solving the PID problem involves adaptations to the Java and interface code.

The DLL is loaded once into globally accessible memory, and then mapped into the private address space for each JVM. New processes are then created to serve independent sets of requests from Java clients.

The RmtReq object is used to represent a client by enforcing the rule that the same RmtReq object should be used to send all requests that are created on behalf of a single client. Effectively, each RmtReq object present in the JVM represents an individual client requesting

services from LANDP via a Servlet within that JVM. Then all requests from a particular RmtReq object are processed by the interface code in their own distinct process.

It may be impossible for a DLL to create dispatcher processes for each RmtReq itself, if this is not allowed by many operating systems. Therefore, a mechanism is provided for creating a process through which to route the requests to LANDP, together with a method to tell the DLL to call this process. Three entities can be identified:

- The DLL which implements the Java Native method send ()
- A service or daemon that is responsible for process management
- An executable that can be loaded as a dispatcher process by the service to actually send requests to the supervisor.

A possible solution is shown in Figures 4 and 5. Each process within Figure 4 is denoted by a dotted line. Referring to Figures 4 and 5, the JVM 200 runs as one process and there are two RmtReq objects 210,220 present, which are instantiated 290 to represent two clients. The requests generated by the each RmtReq object must be recognisable as coming from a distinct Process ID by the LANDP supervisor. The RmtReq class implements a register() method. This in turn calls 300 the service module 240 to request a dispatcher process 250,260 in which to send requests to a LANDP supervisor 270.

The Service module 240 is running permanently and performs the job of creating 310 dispatcher processes 250, 260 and then assigning them 310 to RmtReq objects 210,220 accordingly. To ensure that requests within a session always have the same PID, the service object 240 maintains a table of RmtReq objects to dispatcher processes. The RmtReq object (210,220), when instantiated 290 makes a call 300 to the service module 240 (via the DLL 230) to register itself. The service module then assigns 310 a dispatcher process (250,260) to the RmtReq. Once

1 1. A method for managing communications between requester processes and server
2 processes in a data processing network, including:
3 creating a set of dispatcher processes, each having a unique process identifier;
4 associating each of a set of requester processes, which communicate with a server process
5 via a common interpreter process having a single process identifier, with a different dispatcher
6 process of said set of dispatcher processes;
7 for requests sent from any of said set of requester processes via said common interpreter
8 process to a server process which identifies requester processes using a process identifier, routing
9 said requests via the associated dispatcher process;
10 at the respective dispatcher process, attaching the unique identifier of the dispatcher
11 process to the request and then forwarding the request to the server process; and
12 responsive to receipt by the dispatcher process of a reply to said request, forwarding the
13 reply to the associated requester process via the common interpreter process.

2 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the common interpreter process via which said
set of requester processes communicate is a Java Virtual Machine.

1 3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the set of requester processes comprise Web
2 Browsers which communicate with a server process via respective Servlet threads running within
3 a JVM of a Web Server or Web application server.

1 4. A computer program product comprising program code recorded on a machine readable
2 recording medium, the program code including instructions for, when executed, controlling the
3 operation of a data processing apparatus to implement a method for managing communications
4 between requester processes and server processes in a data processing network, the method
5 including:

6 creating a set of dispatcher processes, each having a unique process identifier;

7 associating each of a set of requester processes, which communicate with a server process
8 via a common interpreter process having a single process identifier, with a different dispatcher
9 process of said set of dispatcher processes;

10 for requests sent from any of said set of requester processes via said common interpreter
11 process to a server process which identifies requester processes using a process identifier, routing
12 said requests via the associated dispatcher process;

13 at the respective dispatcher process, attaching the unique identifier of the dispatcher
14 process to the request and then forwarding the request to the server process; and

15 responsive to receipt by the dispatcher process of a reply to said request, forwarding the
16 reply to the associated requester process.

1 5. A data processing apparatus, including:

2 a server process which uses process identifiers to distinguish between requests received
3 from different client processes;

4 means for creating a set of dispatcher processes, each having a unique process identifier;

5 means for associating each of a set of requester processes, which communicate with the
6 server process via a common interpreter process having a single process identifier, with a
7 different dispatcher process of said set of dispatcher processes;

means for routing requests from a requester process, comprising requests sent to the server process from any of said set of requester processes via the common interpreter process, via the respective associated dispatcher process;

means associated with the respective dispatcher process for attaching the unique identifier of said respective dispatcher process to the request and then forwarding the request to the server process; and

means responsive to receipt by said respective dispatcher process of a reply to said request, for forwarding the reply to the associated requester process.

Country	Year	Population (millions)	Urban population (millions)	Urban population (%)	Population density (per sq km)	Population density (per sq mile)
Algeria	1980	12.1	5.1	42.2	10.1	26.2
Algeria	1985	13.1	5.8	44.3	10.8	28.0
Algeria	1990	14.1	6.5	46.1	11.1	28.7
Algeria	1995	15.1	7.2	47.7	11.5	29.8
Algeria	2000	16.1	7.9	49.1	11.9	30.8
Algeria	2005	17.1	8.6	50.3	12.3	31.8
Algeria	2010	18.1	9.3	51.4	12.7	32.8
Algeria	2015	19.1	10.0	52.4	13.1	33.8
Algeria	2020	20.1	10.7	53.2	13.5	34.8
Algeria	2025	21.1	11.4	54.0	13.9	35.8
Algeria	2030	22.1	12.1	54.8	14.3	36.8
Algeria	2035	23.1	12.8	55.4	14.7	37.8
Algeria	2040	24.1	13.5	56.0	15.1	38.8
Algeria	2045	25.1	14.2	56.6	15.5	39.8
Algeria	2050	26.1	14.9	57.1	15.9	40.8
Algeria	2055	27.1	15.6	57.6	16.3	41.8
Algeria	2060	28.1	16.3	58.0	16.7	42.8
Algeria	2065	29.1	17.0	58.4	17.1	43.8
Algeria	2070	30.1	17.7	58.8	17.5	44.8
Algeria	2075	31.1	18.4	59.2	17.9	45.8
Algeria	2080	32.1	19.1	59.5	18.3	46.8
Algeria	2085	33.1	19.8	59.8	18.7	47.8
Algeria	2090	34.1	20.5	60.1	19.1	48.8
Algeria	2095	35.1	21.2	60.4	19.5	49.8
Algeria	2100	36.1	21.9	60.7	19.9	50.8
Algeria	2105	37.1	22.6	61.0	20.3	51.8
Algeria	2110	38.1	23.3	61.2	20.7	52.8
Algeria	2115	39.1	24.0	61.4	21.1	53.8
Algeria	2120	40.1	24.7	61.6	21.5	54.8
Algeria	2125	41.1	25.4	61.8	21.9	55.8
Algeria	2130	42.1	26.1	62.0	22.3	56.8
Algeria	2135	43.1	26.8	62.2	22.7	57.8
Algeria	2140	44.1	27.5	62.4	23.1	58.8
Algeria	2145	45.1	28.2	62.6	23.5	59.8
Algeria	2150	46.1	28.9	62.8	23.9	60.8
Algeria	2155	47.1	29.6	62.9	24.3	61.8
Algeria	2160	48.1	30.3	63.0	24.7	62.8
Algeria	2165	49.1	31.0	63.1	25.1	63.8
Algeria	2170	50.1	31.7	63.3	25.5	64.8
Algeria	2175	51.1	32.4	63.4	25.9	65.8
Algeria	2180	52.1	33.1	63.5	26.3	66.8
Algeria	2185	53.1	33.8	63.6	26.7	67.8
Algeria	2190	54.1	34.5	63.8	27.1	68.8
Algeria	2195	55.1	35.2	63.9	27.5	69.8
Algeria	2200	56.1	35.9	64.0	27.9	70.8
Algeria	2205	57.1	36.6	64.1	28.3	71.8
Algeria	2210	58.1	37.3	64.2	28.7	72.8
Algeria	2215	59.1	38.0	64.3	29.1	73.8
Algeria	2220	60.1	38.7	64.4	29.5	74.8
Algeria	2225	61.1	39.4	64.5	29.9	75.8
Algeria	2230	62.1	40.1	64.6	30.3	76.8
Algeria	2235	63.1	40.8	64.7	30.7	77.8
Algeria	2240	64.1	41.5	64.8	31.1	

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Provided are a method, apparatus and a computer program product which address the problem of differentiating between processes which appear to a server process to have the same process ID associated with them, for example processes which run within a common process such as a single Java Virtual Machine (JVM). A server process which relies on the process ID to differentiate between sender client processes cannot determine the source of a request. This problem can lead to conflicts between requests which are sent by different client processes running under the same process ID. The problem is solved by creating a set of dispatcher processes and associating one with each requester process. Then requests which are sent to a server process for processing are sent via the respective dispatcher process and its unique process ID is attached to the request. The server process can now use the dispatcher process ID to differentiate between requester clients.

FIGURE 2





FIGURE 3



000000" 00000000

FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5



Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Age	35.2	12.5	22	55
Gender	0.52	0.50	0	1
Marital Status	0.68	0.48	0	1
Education	12.8	2.1	9	16
Income	45.3	15.2	25	75
Health	0.75	0.43	0	1
Employment	0.82	0.38	0	1
Home Ownership	0.71	0.45	0	1
Vehicle Ownership	0.65	0.48	0	1
Life Satisfaction	4.2	1.8	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	4.1	1.7	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	4.0	1.6	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.9	1.5	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.8	1.4	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.7	1.3	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.6	1.2	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.5	1.1	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.4	1.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.3	0.9	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.2	0.8	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.1	0.7	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	3.0	0.6	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.9	0.5	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.8	0.4	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.7	0.3	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.6	0.2	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.5	0.1	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.4	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.3	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.2	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.1	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	2.0	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.9	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.8	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.7	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.6	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.5	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.4	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.3	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.2	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.1	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	1.0	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.9	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.8	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.7	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.6	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.5	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.4	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.3	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.2	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.1	0.0	1	7
Life Satisfaction (Control)	0.0	0.0	1	7

DOCKET NO: GB920000096US1

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

ENABLING MULTIPLE CLIENT ACCESS TO A PROCESS-BASED SYSTEM OR PROGRAM FROM A SINGLE JAVA VIRTUAL MACHINE

the specification of which (check one)

☒ is attached hereto.

_____ was filed on
as Application Serial No.
and was amended on

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, CFR 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, USC 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):

Number	Country	Filing Date Day/Month/Year	____ Yes ____ X ____ No Priority Claimed
--------	---------	-------------------------------	---

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, USC 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, USC 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, CFR 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S. Applications:

Serial No.	Filing Date	Status
------------	-------------	--------

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

0967000096US1

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Edward H. Duffield, Reg. No. 25,970; A. Bruce Clay, Reg. No. 32,121; Gregory M. Doudnikoff, Reg. No. 32,847; Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts, Reg. No. 39,808; Jerry W. Herndon, Reg. No. 27,901, Kevin J. Fournier, Reg. No. 34,333

Send all correspondence to:

IBM Corp, IP Law Dept T81/503,
3039 Cornwallis Road,
PO Box 12195,
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2195.
Telephone: (919)

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: **Adrian William Spender**

INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____

RESIDENCE: Flat 2 Lingfield Court, Blount Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire
PO1 2TB, United Kingdom

CITIZENSHIP: British

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: As above

006269 0002960